

AGAINST METHODOLOGY IN SCIENCE AND RELIGION

RECENT DEBATES ON RATIONALITY AND THEOLOGY

Josh Reeves



Against Methodology in Science and Religion

Since its development as a field over the last part of the twentieth century, scholars in science and religion have been heavily concerned with methodological issues. Following the lead of Thomas Kuhn, many scholars in this interdisciplinary field have offered proposals that purport to show how theology and science are compatible by appropriating theories of scientific methodology or rationality. Arguing against this strategy, this book shows why much of this methodological work is at odds with recent developments in the history and philosophy of science and should be reconsidered.

First, three influential methodological proposals are critiqued: Lakatosian research programs, Alister McGrath's *Scientific Theology*, and the post-foundationalist project of Wentzel van Huyssteen. Each of these approaches is shown to have a common failing: the idea that science has an essential nature, with features that unite "scientific" or even "rational" inquiry across time or disciplines. After outlining the issues this failing could have on the viability of the field, the book concludes by arguing that there are several ways scholarship in science and religion can move forward, even if the terms "science" and "religion" do not refer to something universally valid or philosophically useful.

This is a bold study of the methodology of science and religion that pushes both subjects to consider the other more carefully. As such, it will be of great interest to scholars in religious studies, theology, and the philosophy of science.

Josh Reeves is Assistant Professor of Science and Religion at Samford University, USA. Having run the New Directions in Science and Religion project, he has also written multiple articles on science and religion for peer-reviewed journals and is a coauthor of *A Little Book for New Scientists* (2016).

Routledge Science and Religion Series

Series editors:

Michael S. Burdett, Wycliffe Hall, University of Oxford, UK Mark Harris, University of Edinburgh, UK

Science and religion have often been thought to be at loggerheads, but much contemporary work in this flourishing interdisciplinary field suggests this is far from the case. The Science and Religion Series presents exciting new work to advance interdisciplinary study, research, and debate across key themes in science and religion. Contemporary issues in philosophy and theology are debated, as are prevailing cultural assumptions. The series enables leading international authors from a range of different disciplinary perspectives to apply the insights of the various sciences, theology, philosophy, and history in order to look at the relations between the different disciplines and the connections that can be made between them. These accessible, stimulating new contributions to key topics across science and religion, will appeal particularly to individual academics and researchers, graduates, postgraduates, and upper-undergraduate students.

Being as Communion

A Metaphysics of Information William A. Dembski

The Roots of Religion

Exploring the Cognitive Science of Religion Edited by Roger Trigg and Justin L. Barrett

The Intelligent Design Debate and the Temptation of Scientism Erkki Vesa Rope Kojonen

Science and the Truthfulness of Beauty How the Personal Perspective Discovers Creation Robert Gilbert

Against Methodology in Science and Religion Recent Debates on Rationality and Theology Josh Reeves

For more information and a full list of titles in the series, please visit: https://www.routledge.com/religion/series/ASCIREL

Against Methodology in Science and Religion

Recent Debates on Rationality and Theology

Josh Reeves



First published 2019 by Routledge 2 Park Square, Milton Park, Abingdon, Oxon OX14 4RN

and by Routledge 711 Third Avenue, New York, NY 10017

Routledge is an imprint of the Taylor & Francis Group, an informa business

© 2019 Josh Reeves

The right of Josh Reeves to be identified as author of this work has been asserted by him in accordance with sections 77 and 78 of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988.

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reprinted or reproduced or utilised in any form or by any electronic, mechanical, or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publishers.

Trademark notice: Product or corporate names may be trademarks or registered trademarks, and are used only for identification and explanation without intent to infringe.

British Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data
A catalogue record for this book is available from the British
Library

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data
Names: Reeves, Josh A., 1976– author.
Title: Against methodology in science and religion: recent debates on rationality and theology / Josh Reeves.
Description: Abingdon, Oxon; New York, NY: Routledge, 2019. | Series: Routledge science and religion series | Includes bibliographical references and index.
Identifiers: LCCN 2018027268 (print) | LCCN 2018030787 (ebook) Subjects: LCSH: Religion and science—Methodology.
Classification: LCC BL240.3 (ebook) |
LCC BL240.3 .R4338 2018 (print) | DDC 201/.65—dc23
LC record available at https://lccn.loc.gov/2018027268

ISBN: 978-1-138-47794-0 (hbk) ISBN: 978-1-351-10377-0 (ebk)

Typeset in Sabon by codeMantra

Contents

	Acknowledgments	xi
1	Introduction: on making theology "scientific" Introduction: what makes science unique? 1 Outline of the book 4	1
2	Methodology and the field of science and religion Introduction 8 The ideal of method 9 Science as an apologetic foundation 11 Post-Kuhnian philosophy of science 15 Thomas Kuhn and the making comparisons between science and religion 17 Conclusion 22	8
3	The Lakatosian Program of Nancey Murphy Introduction 29 Philosophy of Imre Lakatos 30 Lakatos and Karl Popper 30 Popper/Kuhn debate 31 Methodology of scientific research programs 33 Appraising research programs 34 Lakatos and the history of science 35 Murphy and Lakatos 38 Appropriating Lakatos 38 Applying Lakatos to theology 40 Evaluation of Lakatos 42 Appraising research programs 42 Lakatos and the history of science 44	29

Introduction 56 Between enlightenment foundationalism and postmodernity 57 Science and critical realism 58 Critical realism and Christian theology 60	
and postmodernity 57 Science and critical realism 58	
Science and critical realism 58	
Critical realism and Christian theology 60	
Different methods for a stratified reality 61	
Theology as grounding science 62	
Evaluation of McGrath's critical realism 63	
What does the miracle argument prove? 63	
Is critical realism a consistent position? 65	
Local vs global realism 68	
Conclusion 71	
5 The postfoundationalist project	
of J. Wentzel Van Huyssteen	76
Introduction 76	
Modernity and postmodernity 77	
The postfoundationalist model 79	
Evolutionary origins of rationality 80	
Rationality as an epistemic skill 81	
The pursuit of intelligibility 82	
Transversal rationality 83	
Experiential adequacy 84	
Problem-solving and research traditions 85	
Comparing science and religion 87	
Evaluation of postfoundationalism 88	
What is Enlightenment? 88	
Postfoundationalism and theological legitimacy 92	
Conclusion 96	
6 Anti-essentialism and the history of science 10)1
Introduction 101	
The traditional interpretation of the Scientific Revolution 102	
Isaac Newton: culmination of the Scientific Revolution 105	
Revising the traditional interpretation 106	
Isaac Newton: theologian, alchemist, and	
natural philosopher 110	
Conclusion: four lessons from the history of science 111	

Isaac Newton: theologian, alchemist, and natural philosopher 110

Conclusion: four lessons from the history of science 111

7 Anti-essentialism and the future of the field of science and religion

122

Summary of argument 122
Anti-essentialism in philosophy of science 124
The territories of science and religion 126
Implications for the future of the field of science and religion 128
Science and religion scholars as "Historians of the Present" 129
Embedding science and religion scholars in research programs 131
Reform the categories of science and religion 133
Conclusion 136

Index 141